Date: _____April 1, 2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Jaime Sadillo-Diaz Defendant	Case No. 1:19-cr-00072-RJJ
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re lefendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	·	ndings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term o	f ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	volves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.0	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed vor local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presurperson or the community. I further find that defendant	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	t has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s	
(0)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u>√</u> (1)	Alternativ There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	ve Findings (B) pear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	er the safety of another person or the community.
		the Reasons for Detention
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that: ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contain the submitted at the and	ne detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing ntest detention at this time.
	ndant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be rendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	eleased in any case. to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
		s Regarding Detention
correction appeal. States Co	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportu	ney General or a designated representative for confinement in a sons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending nity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge